

29.4.21 DI (S/G)

CTENOPHORA (AFFINITIES) Continue

Different workers have dissimilar views regarding origin and relationships of ctenophores. No fossil record available due to their soft bodies. In the absence of fossils their origin remains obscure.

1. Affinity with Coelenterata: In the beginning, Eschscholtz (1829-1833) regarded ctenophora as a class under phylum Coelenterata.

(a) Resemblances with Coelenterata: There are certainly many morphological similarities many morphological between ctenophora and coelenterata.

- I. Biradial symmetry.
- II. Body parts arranged along an oral-aboral axis.
- III. Presence of gelatinous mesoglea.
- IV. Lack of organ systems (tissue grade)
- V. No coelom. Single gastrovascular cavity.
- VI. Diffuse nerve net or plexus.
- VII. Presence of statocysts.
- VIII. Endodermal origin of gonads.

(b) Differences from Coelenterata

- I. Tentacles oppositely placed symmetry bilateral.
- II. No polymorphism. No colony formation.
- III. Presence of 8 comb plates for locomotion.
- IV. Mesenchymal muscle present. No epitheliomuscular fibres.
- V. Nematocyst absent. Instead, special sensory cells or

colloblasts present on tentacles.

VI. Statocyst present aborally, not marginally.

VII. Gastrovascular system with anal pores more organized.

VIII. Development of derminate type.

2. Affinities with platyhelminthes.

Platyctenea ctenophora (ctenoplane and coeloplane) show certain resemblances with polyclad turbellarians (cestoplane).

I. Dorsoventrally flattened body.

II. Crawling mode of life.

III. Ectoderm ciliated.

IV. Lobed gastrovascular cavity, especially in embryos.

V. Gelatinous mesenchyme with muscle fibres and cells.

VI. Similar earlier stages of segmentation and gastrulation.

On account of these similarities, ctenoplane and coeloplane have been considered the missing links between Coelenterata and platyhelminthes. This view is no longer supported because ctenoplane and coeloplane are now considered typical ctenophores adapted for a creeping mode of life.
